A joint meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors and the Carson City School Board was scheduled for 8:30 a.m. (and continued at 6 p.m.) on Thursday, September 2, 2021 in the Community Center Robert "Bob" Crowell Boardroom, and the Bob Boldrick Theater (for the evening session), 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING

PRESENT:

Mayor Lori Bagwell Supervisor Stacey Giomi, Ward 1 Supervisor Maurice White, Ward 2 Supervisor Stan Jones, Ward 3 Supervisor Lisa Schuette, Ward 4

STAFF:

Nancy Paulson, City Manager Aubrey Rowlatt, Clerk-Recorder Stephanie Hicks, Deputy City Manager Dan Yu, Assistant District Attorney Tamar Warren, Senior Public Meetings Clerk

NOTE: A recording of these proceedings, the Board's agenda materials, and any written comments or documentation provided to the Clerk, during the meeting, are part of the public record. These materials are available for review in the Clerk's Office, during regular business hours. All meeting minutes are available for review at: https://www.carson.org/minutes.

1 - 4. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, INVOCATION, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(8:32:28) – Mayor Bagwell called the meeting to order at 8:32 a.m. Ms. Rowlatt called roll and noted that a quorum was present. Bruce Henderson, Airport Road Church of Christ minister, provided the invocation. At Mayor Bagwell's request Carson City Fire Chief Sean Slamon led the Pledge of Allegiance.

5. PUBLIC COMMENT

(8:34:47) – Mayor Bagwell explained that item 20.A will be removed from the agenda. She also thanked the Carson City community for their assistance during the Caldor fire evacuations, including the Carson Animal Services Initiative (CASI) for their assistance with the pet evacuations, the community for donating food to Friends In Service Helping (FISH), the Red Cross, the City's first responders, the Parks and Recreation Department, "and whomever I left out," adding "we have a lot to be proud of." Mayor Bagwell reminded everyone that public comment will be limited to three minutes per person and will be timed. She noted that Board questions will be taken after the speakers' times has expired to give them their allotted time, and entertained public comments.

(8:36:48) –Nevada Humane Society (NHS) Chief Executive Officer Greg Hall introduced himself and provided an update on the support provided by the NHS to shelter the evacuees' pets. Mr. Hall thanked Supervisor Schuette and CASI, City Manager Nancy Paulson, the Carson City Health and Human Services (CCHHS), and the community members who provided food and other donations. He noted that a full staff was set up and that 21 dogs and 13 cats were still being housed at the Fuji Park shelter, and also discussed the stress levels of the pets. Supervisor Schuette thanked the NHS and commented on the "onslaught of donations" and the overnight support by the NHS staff. Additionally, she was in favor of having the pet owners interact with their dogs to manage their stress levels.

- (8:43:19) Nanette Perkins introduced herself and wished everyone to research a website called FLCCC and encouraged everyone to read about the health benefits of Ivermectin. She also addressed item 14.A, noting the lack of restrooms on hiking trails and requested that the Board not "make a reasonable action a crime." Ms. Perkins was also concerned about consuming alcoholic beverages outdoors being a crime, referring to item 14.B.
- (8:48:17) Jim Shirk introduced himself and objected to having \$891,447.90 in expenditures approved via today's Consent Agenda (with a year-to-date total of approximately \$12 million). He also noted that the Board had not enforced the face covering rule, adding that a Board member was not wearing a mask. Mr. Shirk disapproved of the three-minute public comment time limit, and believed it was done deliberately after he had contacted Mayor Bagwell regarding public comments, which he believed resulted in citizens not being given the right to public speech. A citizen objected to wearing a mask in order to provide public comment.
- (8:51:42) Joy Trushenski introduced herself and believed "there is no scientific basis to continue to demand children in grade school, high school, or college to wear masks or be vaccinated with the COVID-19 vaccine." Ms. Trushenski also cited Centers for Disease Control (CDC) survival rates for children. She cited the benefits of hydroxychloroquine and Ivermectin. She believed that wearing masks would reduce the immune system.
- (8:55:40) Karen Stephens introduced herself as a mother, a grandmother, and a citizen. Ms. Stephens expressed concern that grants were being accepted to provide vaccines to citizens and children, calling it a "bioweapon." She also expressed concern that "hundreds of thousands of people have been injured or died from this."
- (8:59:30) Michelle Montour noted that she had recently moved to Carson City from New Hampshire. She objected to item 14.B noting that she occasionally walks home with a beverage, and did not want to be penalized by the new rules.

(9:00:50) – Marianne Humphrey was concerned that the one of the vaccine ingredients was poison.

6. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: APPROVAL OF MINUTES – August 5, 2021

(9:02:55) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and entertained a motion.

(9:03:04) – Supervisor Giomi moved to approve the minutes of August 5, 2021 with a corrected non-substantive typographical error. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Jones and carried 5-0-0.

7. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

7.A PRESENTATION OF A PROCLAMATION TO RECOGNIZE SEPTEMBER 11, 2021 AS A DAY OF REMEMBRANCE FOR THE 20TH ANNIVERSARY OF SEPTEMBER 11, 2001.

(9:03:35) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and invited Supervisor Giomi, who was the Carson City Fire Chief on September 11, 2001, to read into the record a proclamation, incorporated into the record, recognizing September 11, 2021 as a day of Remembrance for the 20th Anniversary of September 11, 2001. She also invited Carson City Sheriff Ken Furlong and Fire Chief Slamon to join Mr. Giomi as he read the proclamation. The Board members signed the proclamation and joined the Sheriff and the Fire Chief for a commemorative photograph.

CONSENT AGENDA

(9:08:01) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and entertained any items to be pulled, and when none were forthcoming, a motion.

(9:08:14) – Supervisor Giomi moved to approve the Consent Agenda consisting of items 8.A, 9.A, 10.A, 10.B, 10.C, 10.D, 10.E, 10.F, and 11.A as presented. Supervisor White seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED (5-0-0)

MOVER: Supervisor Giomi

SECONDER: Supervisor White

AYES: Supervisors Giomi, Jones, Schuette, White, and Mayor Bagwell

NAYS: Supervisors Grown, somes, somette, write, and mayor Bagwern

ABSTENTIONS: None ABSENT: None

8. FINANCE

8.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE REPORT ON THE CONDITION OF EACH FUND IN THE TREASURY AND THE STATEMENTS OF RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES THROUGH AUGUST 20, 2021, PER NRS 251.030 AND NRS 354.290.

9. PARKS AND RECREATION

9.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A PROPOSED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE NEVADA SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION ("NSHE") ON BEHALF OF WESTERN NEVADA COLLEGE ("WNC") FOR USE OF THE COMMUNITY CENTER/BOB BOLDRICK THEATER, MARY TEIXEIRA PAVILION AND OTHER CITY-OWNED PARKS AND

RECREATION FACILITIES TO BE PAID ON A PER RESERVATION BASIS ACCORDING TO THE FEES AND CHARGES POLICY PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

10. PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS

10.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO CONTRACT NO. 1415-047 WITH BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. ("B OF A") FOR PURCHASING CARD SERVICES ("P-CARD") TO EXTEND THE TERM THROUGH SEPTEMBER 16, 2026.

10.B FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A TOTAL EXPENDITURE OF \$127,781.91 TO RESURFACE PORTIONS OF THE ROOF AT 885 EAST MUSSER STREET UNDER THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMPLEX ROOFING PROJECT ("PROJECT") THROUGH: (1) THE PURCHASE OF ROOFING MATERIALS FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$66,020.21 UTILIZING JOINDER CONTRACT NO. PW1925 BETWEEN RACINE COUNTY, WI AND GARLAND/DBS, INC.; AND (2) A DETERMINATION THAT STATE ROOFING SYSTEMS INC. ("STATE ROOFING") IS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER PURSUANT TO NEVADA REVISED STATUTES ("NRS") CHAPTER 338 AND WHETHER TO AWARD CONTRACT NO. 21300105 FOR THE PROJECT TO STATE ROOFING FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$61,761.70.

10.C FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A TOTAL EXPENDITURE OF \$78,219.25 TO RESURFACE A PORTION OF THE CARSON CITY LIBRARY'S ROOF UNDER THE LIBRARY UPPER SECTION ROOFING PROJECT ("PROJECT") THROUGH: (1) THE PURCHASE OF ROOFING MATERIALS FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$35,258.75 UTILIZING JOINDER CONTRACT NO. PW1925 BETWEEN RACINE COUNTY, WI AND GARLAND/DBS, INC.; AND (2) A DETERMINATION THAT STATE ROOFING SYSTEMS INC. ("STATE ROOFING") IS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER PURSUANT TO NEVADA REVISED STATUTES ("NRS") CHAPTER 338 AND WHETHER TO AWARD CONTRACT NO. 21300106 FOR THE PROJECT TO STATE ROOFING FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$42,960.50.

10.D FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A TOTAL EXPENDITURE OF \$362,801.26 TO RESURFACE THE ROOF OF FIRE STATION 51 ("PROJECT") THROUGH: (1) THE PURCHASE OF ROOFING MATERIALS FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$205,073.36 UTILIZING JOINDER CONTRACT NO. PW1925 BETWEEN RACINE COUNTY, WI AND GARLAND/DBS, INC.; AND (2) A DETERMINATION THAT STATE ROOFING SYSTEMS INC. ("STATE ROOFING") IS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER PURSUANT TO NEVADA REVISED STATUTES ("NRS") CHAPTER 338 AND WHETHER TO AWARD CONTRACT NO. 21300107 FOR THE PROJECT TO STATE ROOFING FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$157,727.90.

10.E FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A TOTAL EXPENDITURE OF \$84,045.18 TO RESURFACE THE ROOF OF THE CARSON CITY HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT ("PROJECT") THROUGH: (1) THE PURCHASE OF ROOFING MATERIALS FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$19,947.08 UTILIZING JOINDER CONTRACT NO. PW1925 BETWEEN RACINE COUNTY, WI AND GARLAND/DBS, INC.; AND (2) A DETERMINATION THAT STATE ROOFING SYSTEMS INC. ("STATE ROOFING") IS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER PURSUANT TO NEVADA REVISED STATUTES ("NRS") CHAPTER 338 AND WHETHER TO AWARD CONTRACT NO. 21300108 FOR THE PROJECT TO STATE ROOFING FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$64,098.10.

10.F FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A TOTAL EXPENDITURE OF \$238,600.30 TO RESURFACE THE ROOFS OF BUILDING 7 AND BUILDING 9 FOR THE CARSON CITY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE ("PROJECT") THROUGH: (1) THE PURCHASE OF ROOFING MATERIALS FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$118,116.20 UTILIZING JOINDER CONTRACT NO. PW1925 BETWEEN RACINE COUNTY, WI AND GARLAND/DBS, INC.; AND (2) A DETERMINATION THAT STATE ROOFING SYSTEMS INC. ("STATE ROOFING") IS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER PURSUANT TO NEVADA REVISED STATUTES ("NRS") CHAPTER 338 AND WHETHER TO AWARD CONTRACT NO. 21300109 FOR PROJECT TO STATE ROOFING FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$120,484.10.

11. SHERIFF

11.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING AUTHORIZATION TO ACCEPT GRANT FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$242,572 FROM THE NEVADA OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE, CORONAVIRUS EMERGENCY RELIEF SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING, FOR THE ACQUISITION OF CARSON CITY SHERIFF'S OFFICE ("CCSO") TECHNOLOGY.

END OF CONSENT AGENDA

ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS, AND OTHER ITEMS

12. ITEM(S) PULLED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA WILL BE HEARD AT THIS TIME

No items were pulled from the consent agenda.

13. SHERIFF

13.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING AUTHORIZATION FOR THE SUBMISSION OF AN APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$94,500 FROM THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY ("DPS"), 2021 EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM, FOR IMPROVEMENT

PROJECTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION OF THE CARSON CITY SHERIFF'S OFFICE ("CCSO).

(9:08:53) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Sheriff Furlong reviewed the Staff Report, which is incorporated into the record, and reminded the Board that the request was for the submittal of the grant. Mayor Bagwell entertained Board questions and when none were forthcoming, a motion.

(9:09:47) – Supervisor White moved to authorize submission of the grant application as requested. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Giomi.

RESULT: APPROVED (5-0-0)

MOVER: Supervisor White SECONDER: Supervisor Giomi

AYES: Supervisors Giomi, Jones, Schuette, White, and Mayor Bagwell

NAYS: None ABSTENTIONS: None ABSENT: None

14. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

14.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION: (1) REGARDING A BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT CONCERNING A PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE ("CCMC") 8.04.020, DEFINING AND PROHIBITING INDECENT CONDUCT IN PUBLIC; AND (2) TO INTRODUCE, ON FIRST READING, A PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING CCMC 8.04.020, DEFINING AND PROHIBITING INDECENT CONDUCT IN PUBLIC.

(9:10:09) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Carson City District Attorney Jason Woodbury presented the Staff Report which recommended amending Carson City Municipal Code (CCMC) 8.04.020, defining and prohibiting indecent conduct in public and responded to clarifying questions. Mayor Bagwell indicated the Board will vote on two items: 1) the Business Impact Statement; and 2) the first reading of a proposed ordinance. She also wished to address the public comments received in writing or in person regarding the item.

(9:15:11) – Mr. Woodbury clarified "wanton exposure" as intentional, meaning that the ordinance would address exposure to someone else and not situations such as hikers in the woods who seek relief due to lack of restrooms. In response to Supervisor Giomi's "why now?" question, Mr. Woodbury clarified that "this is the chapter we're on in the review [of ordinances]," adding that others may be in front of the Board as well. He also stated that under State law, second offenders of "indecent exposure" would be required to register as sex offenders; however, under this ordinance, a sanction will be imposed for their conduct; however, they would not be required to register as sex offenders. Supervisor Giomi recommended adding language clarifying "wanton exposure" and excluding those who are on trails or wooded areas. Mayor Bagwell entertained additional comments; however, none were forthcoming. She also entertained a motion to approve the business impact statement.

(9:23:25) – Supervisor Jones moved to approve the Business Impact Statement as presented. Supervisor Schuette seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED (5-0-0)

MOVER: Supervisor Jones SECONDER: Supervisor Schuette

AYES: Supervisors Giomi, Jones, Schuette, White, and Mayor Bagwell

NAYS: None ABSTENTIONS: None None

(9:23:48) – Mayor Bagwell entertained a second motion.

(11:23:50) – Supervisor Jones moved to introduce, on first reading, Bill No. 113. Mayor Bagwell seconded the motion. Mayor Bagwell entertained discussion.

(9:24:20) – Supervisor White stated that "the language is pretty broad" and recommended a "do over." Mayor Bagwell recommended taking a vote on the current motion and believed that the term "wanton" covered the intent noted by Mr. Woodbury. Sheriff Furlong clarified that the complaints received regarding the issue had been around bars and not on trails. He called the proposed ordinance "a necessary tool for law enforcement and public health because it does occur around bars." Supervisor Giomi indicated he had not been in favor of the proposed ordinance at first, believing it was a duplication of State law; however, after hearing Mr. Woodbury's explanation on the record that excluded individuals who concealed themselves, he was comfortable with voting for the item as written. Mayor Bagwell called for the vote.

RESULT: APPROVED (4-1-0)

MOVER: Supervisor Jones SECONDER: Mayor Bagwell

AYES: Supervisors Giomi, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell

NAYS: Supervisor White

ABSTENTIONS: None None

14.B FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION: (1) REGARDING A BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT CONCERNING A PROPOSED ORDINANCE PROHIBITING POSSESSION OF AN OPEN CONTAINER OF AN ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE IN A PUBLIC PLACE; AND (2) TO INTRODUCE, ON FIRST READING, A PROPOSED ORDINANCE PROHIBITING POSSESSION OF AN OPEN CONTAINER OF AN ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE IN A PUBLIC PLACE.

(9:28:03) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Mr. Woodbury reviewed the Staff Report, incorporated into the record, which included background information. He highlighted that the proposed ordinance "would prohibit the

possession of an open container of alcohol on public property." He also clarified that the substance of the proposed ordinance was previously codified as CCMC 4.13.210 which was in place from 1978 - 2017 when it was removed from the liquor licensing chapter as it addressed individual, not licensee, conduct, which was being proposed at this time. Mr. Woodbury stated that the proposed ordinance was not the result of a new crime trend or conduct, but it was a housekeeping matter and the reiteration of a previously existing ordinance.

(9:32:15) – Mr. Woodbury explained that the proposed ordinance would prohibit the possession of an open container of alcohol on property owned by Carson City, except as permitted by a special event liquor permit or an encroachment permit. Additionally, Mr. Woodbury clarified that the third provision would prohibit the possession of alcohol in a park "when it is hosting a scheduled youth activity, or if the park is posted with a notice prohibiting alcoholic beverages." Mr. Woodbury stated that the Parks and Recreation Director may provide an exception to the prohibition, if necessary. He also reviewed the proposed definitions incorporated in the proposed ordinance.

(3:35:10) – Mayor Bagwell requested responses to the public comments received earlier and Sheriff Furlong explained that the proposed ordinance would provide a tool for law enforcement "when all other alternatives have been exhausted" during extreme circumstances; however, it would not have any affect on downtown activities. He also addressed the concern from members of the public regarding possession of alcohol at a barbeque or going to a neighbor's house, noting that was not the intent of the ordinance. Supervisor Schuette inquired about family gatherings at places such as Mills Park, and called the proposed ordinance broad sweeping to address a specific problem. Mr. Woodbury cited a past public safety example at a local motel when someone was trying to enter someone else's room, had become belligerent when confronted by the motel manager, had moved to another location and was in possession of alcohol. The officers' only choice was to take the individual into custody for an open container violation, as the motel manager had not wished to press charges.

(9:42:09) – Supervisor Giomi believed that the Downtown Business Association (DBA) should have been contacted as part of the Business Impact Statement. He also stated that he had received the most comments regarding the item from the community, and cited examples of City-sponsored events that served alcohol. Supervisor Giomi did not believe the proposed ordinance was necessary, adding that he would not support it.

(9:44:47) – Supervisor White thanked Mr. Woodbury for the offline and extended conversations regarding the item. He also believed that there were tools in the Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) and CCMC to address the issue and was concerned that future interpretations could be different. Supervisor White believed that providing exemptions to allow alcohol possession at particular events "creates an unfair playing field." He recommended that the District Attorney's Office "go back and write this ordinance that defines the manner of enforcement that you intend to do."

(9:47:20) – Mayor Bagwell believed the proposed ordinance provided tools that kept the peace. However, she was concerned that "it looks as if we are allowing [alcohol possession] when it can be controlled" such as sale by vendors. She was informed by Sheriff Furlong that the proposed ordinance would be utilized with the homeless population, where "alcohol is usually at the core of it," adding that the tool was used rarely; however, in certain cases, it worked. He also noted that law enforcement would not stop parties in people's backyards. Supervisor Giomi recommended making the ordinance clearer. Supervisor Schuette suggested having specific wording to

address the circumstances that need to be addressed. Supervisor White recommended "making open container a secondary offense."

(9:56:45) – Mr. Yu proposed a better way of capturing the intent of the ordinance by addressing disruptive behavior while having an open container, not the mere possession. Supervisor Schuette considered the proposed ordinance "too broad." Discussion ensued and Mayor Bagwell recommended tabling the item "to see if there is a better way to write the tool that you need to be able to handle that phone call." The Board was in agreement and the item was tabled.

(10:09:30) – Mayor Bagwell recessed the meeting.

(10:23:02) – Mayor Bagwell reconvened the meeting. A quorum was still present.

PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS 15.

15.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A DETERMINATION THAT GARDNER ENGINEERING, INC. ("GARDNER"), IS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER PURSUANT TO NEVADA REVISED STATUTES ("NRS") CHAPTER 338 AND WHETHER TO AWARD CONTRACT NO. 21300118 FOR THE COURT HOUSE CHILLER #1 REPLACEMENT PROJECT ("PROJECT") TO GARDNER FOR A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$302,448.64.

(10:23:04) - Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and entertained Board questions or comments, and when none were forthcoming, a motion.

(10:23:19) - Supervisor Giomi moved to approve the Contract No. 21300118 as presented, with the corrected source of funding. Supervisor White seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED (5-0-0)

Supervisor Giomi **MOVER: SECONDER:** Supervisor White

Supervisors Giomi, Jones, Schuette, White, and Mayor Bagwell

AYES: None NAYS:

ABSTENTIONS: None ABSENT: None

PARKS AND RECREATION 16.

16.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A LICENSE AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND DUNCAN GOLF MANAGEMENT DBA TDS GOLF AT EAGLE VALLEY LLC ("DUNCAN") FOR THE OPERATION, MANAGEMENT AND

MAINTENANCE OF EAGLE VALLEY GOLF COURSE ("EVGC") FOR A TERM THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2027 FOR NO REMUNERATION BY THE CITY.

(10:23:42) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Director Jennifer Budge gave background on the Eagle Valley Golf Course (EVGC) and introduced Scott Wackowski, Duncan Golf Management (DGM) General Manager. Ms. Budge reviewed the Staff Report and accompanying documentation, including a review of the golf operations in the past three years by DGM while acknowledging all the progress made and all the capital issues that still need to be addressed. Ms. Budge and Mr. Wackowski also responded to clarifying questions.

(10:34:09) – At Mayor Bagwell's request, Ms. Paulson responded to the written public comments received. She informed the Board and the public that the agreement was in compliance with Nevada State Law; confirmed that the City did not collect real property and personal property taxes at the golf course; stated that DGM was not sales tax exempt; explained that no City departments had specific budget line items for EVGC but that the Sewer Fund would be responsible for the repairs outlined in Sections 8.5 and 8.6 of the proposed agreement; referenced Section 7.19 of the proposed agreement which states that DGM paid for potable water and associated sewer rates, and for the effluent meter; and confirmed that DGM also paid for and maintained the wastewater irrigation system per Section 7.34 of the proposed agreement. Ms. Paulson gave a status update on the EVGC irrigation system, stating that it was on the City's five-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

(1-:37:05) – Ms. Budge clarified that the City's facility management budget funded repairs such as the HVAC system and the roof, which she believed "is pretty minimal." Mayor Bagwell noted that she had received positive feedback; however, she had also received complaints regarding general cleanup. Mr. Wackowski clarified that hiring had been difficult during the pandemic; however, DMG was responsible for and would do the cleanup.

(10:39:10) – Supervisor Giomi clarified that EVGC was the City's disposal site for effluent water and a key infrastructure component for the City. He also confirmed that the land and the building were owned by the City and relayed the following concerns he had received from the public: a dead tree, the bunkers, the standing water, the deep ruts, among other concerns. He wished to see the item return annually or bi-annually to ensure that Staff's recommendations and other repairs are addressed.

(10:43:27) – Supervisor Jones expressed concern that many of the issues mentioned by Supervisor Giomi had not been addressed over the years. Ms. Budge clarified that Staff had been at the golf course and had identified many antiquated problems. She considered the current report card a baseline and believed that improvements will be seen. Ms. Budge also explained that the previous agreement had not included many of the items that are included in the proposed agreement and offered to report on the progress.

(10:46:26) – Supervisor Schuette inquired about the follow up on the actions required on the report card and Ms. Budge explained that the scoring would be modified and made user friendly. Supervisor White acknowledged the need for the disposal of the effluent water; however, he believed that the community had outgrown the need for a golf course and suggested not competing with local entrepreneurs but looking for different uses of the property. Mayor Bagwell acknowledged the comments made by Supervisor White; however, she believed that "ending this contract today without a plan will be irresponsible." Carson City Wastewater Utility Manager Andy

Hummel clarified for Supervisor Giomi that the infrastructure was "in good shape" but the irrigation controller for the effluent water on the golf course was the "biggest next item" on the five-year CIP plan. He also confirmed that the City had adequately prioritized this component of the wastewater system. Discussion ensued regarding annual reviews and status reports and Mayor Bagwell agreed with Supervisor Giomi that the Board should be notified of the reports when they are available for review by the Parks and Recreation Commission. She also stressed the importance of the wastewater component of the golf course and believed it was the Board's intent not to compete with private enterprises. Mayor Bagwell entertained a motion.

(10:59:40) – Supervisor Giomi moved to approve the agreement as presented and with the discussion on the record. Supervisor Schuette seconded the motion.

RESULT:

APPROVED (4-1-0)

MOVER:

Supervisor Giomi

SECONDER:

Supervisor Schuette

AYES:

Supervisors Giomi, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell

NAYS:

Supervisor White

ABSTENTIONS:

None

ABSENT:

None

17. HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

17.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A PROPOSED ACCEPTANCE OF THE COVID-19 HEALTH EQUITY GRANT FROM THE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION THROUGH THE STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH (THE "STATE") IN THE AMOUNT OF \$767,015.77 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2024.

(11:00:17) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and requested that the morning's public comments be addressed during the discussion of this item.

(11:00:46) – CCHHS Director Nicki Aaker presented the Staff Report and reviewed the grant components, both of which are incorporated into the record. She noted that the grant would also cover flu vaccines and stressed that the vaccinations are administered with the consent of the recipients including the inmates. Ms. Aaker clarified that CCHHS must follow the grant findings (via audits) and compliance requirements. She also confirmed for Mayor Bagwell that grant compliance did not have a mandatory component to vaccinations but was for the handling of the vaccines. Ms. Aaker addressed the need for a van (through the grant) to reach out to certain citizens who trust CCHHS, including the minority populations, students who don't have to be taken out of the schools for long periods of time, and to avoid copay situations. She also responded to clarifying questions and informed the Board that CCHHS had the option of offering incentives for vaccines; however, Ms. Aaker had not felt comfortable doing that. Supervisor White did not believe "that it's appropriate to offer services based on race and ethnicity." He also explained that after reading the grant information, he believed "the grant is a furtherance of the critical race theory and that I will not participate in."

(11:04:01) - Supervisor Schuette wished to clarify that the COVID virus was "the opponent" as businesses were shutting down and hospitals had been full earlier. She stated that vaccines, masks, and social distancing were tools that mitigated the spread of the virus. She likened them to child car seats that provided safety. Ms. Aaker clarified that no vaccine was 100 percent effective; however, she stated that most people who are hospitalized are unvaccinated, adding that when vaccinated individuals contacted COVID they were not as badly affected. Mayor Bagwell explained that anyone who wished to be vaccinated would be able to receive the vaccine. She also entertained a motion.

(11:22:12) - Supervisor Giomi moved to accept the grant as discussed. Supervisor Schuette seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED (4-1-0)

MOVER: SECONDER: Supervisor Giomi **Supervisor Schuette**

AYES:

Supervisors Giomi, Jones, Schuette, and Mayor Bagwell

NAYS:

Supervisor White

ABSTENTIONS:

None

ABSENT:

None

17.B FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A PROPOSED ACCEPTANCE OF A GRANT FROM THE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION THROUGH THE STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH ("THE STATE") IN THE AMOUNT OF \$159,227, THROUGH MAY 31, 2023.

(11:22:38) - Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and entertained Board questions or comments, and when none were forthcoming, a motion.

(11:22:54) - Supervisor Schuette moved to accept the grant. Supervisor White seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED (5-0-0)

MOVER:

Supervisor Schuette

SECONDER:

Supervisor White

Supervisors Giomi, Jones, Schuette, White, and Mayor Bagwell

AYES: NAYS:

None

ABSTENTIONS:

None

ABSENT:

None

18.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE APPROVAL OF FUNDING AND FINAL RECOMMENDATION TO THE NEVADA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ("NGOED") ON THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

BLOCK GRANT-COVID 19 ("CDBG-CV") TO BE GRANTED TO NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$173,298.54 FOR FISCAL YEAR ("FY") 2022.

(11:24:02) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and entertained disclosures. Supervisor Giomi read into the record a prepared disclosure statement, advised of a disqualifying conflict of interest, and stated that he would not participate in discussion and action. Supervisor Schuette also read into the record a prepared disclosure statement, advised of no disqualifying conflict of interest, and stated that she would participate in discussion and action. Mayor Bagwell entertained Board comments or questions and when none were forthcoming, a motion.

(11:26:21) - Supervisor Jones moved to approve the funding as recommended. Supervisor Schuette seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED (4-0-1)

MOVER:

Supervisor Jones

SECONDER:

Supervisor Schuette

AYES:

Supervisors Jones, Schuette, White, and Mayor Bagwell

NAYS:

None

ABSTENTIONS:

Supervisor Giomi

ABSENT:

None

19. CLERK-RECORDER

19.A FOR DISCUSSION ONLY: DISCUSSION AND PRESENTATION REGARDING CHANGES MADE TO ELECTION LAWS DURING THE 81st (2021) LEGISLATIVE SESSION OF THE NEVADA LEGISLATURE.

(11:27:05) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Ms. Rowlatt introduced herself and Miguel Camacho, Elections Chief Deputy Clerk. Mr. Camacho reviewed the Staff Report and the accompanying PowerPoint presentation, both of which are incorporated into the record. He also updated the Board on the legislative changes and their impact on local, state and national procedures for the 2022 and 2024 primary and general election cycles, including impact from AB 321, AB 126, AB 121, AB 422, AB 432, SB 292, and SB 84. Both Mr. Camacho and Ms. Rowlatt also responded to clarifying questions by the Board members. Discussion ensued regarding the additional costs and the same day registration issues, including the extra resources that would be needed with the additional requirements. Ms. Rowlatt recommended paying the election workers for their training time. Mr. Camacho invited the Board to visit the Elections Department for a walk through. Mayor Bagwell thanked Ms. Rowlatt and Mr. Camacho for a thorough presentation. This item was not agendized for action.

(12:11:37) – Mayor Bagwell recessed the meeting.

(12:25:19) - Mayor Bagwell reconvened the meeting. A quorum was still present.

20. CITY MANAGER

20.A FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING CARSON CITY'S INDIGENT DEFENSE SERVICE PLAN, A PLAN REQUIRED BY NEVADA REVISED STATUTES ("NRS") 260.070 FOR THE PROVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES TO PERSONS ACCUSED OF CERTAIN CRIMES WHO ARE UNABLE TO AFFORD AN ATTORNEY.

This item was removed from the agenda.

20.B FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A PROPOSED ACCEPTANCE OF THE CARSON CITY FISCAL YEAR ("FY") 2022-2026 STRATEGIC PLAN.

(12:25:25) – Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. She thanked Ms. Hicks for all her hard work on the Strategic Plan and invited her to review the plan at a high level since "we've already been through the vast majority of this."

(12:25:45) – Ms. Hicks referenced the Strategic Plan, incorporated into the record, and noted that the redlined items were changes based on the last discussion with the Board. She thanked the Board, the elected officials, the Department Directors, and Staffs for their input. Ms. Hicks also noted the following changes by Supervisor Schuette:

Page 6: Carson City's Strategic Objectives & Tactics

The following tables represent the work plan for the objectives and tactics included in the strategic plan. Tactics should be complete within five (5) years; however, the plan will be evaluated on an annual basis and adjustments eould can be made based upon current conditions.

Page 13: n. Collaborate with local <u>non-profits</u> resources (small business/employment opportunities, Ron Wood Center/education opportunities and assistance with housing) to encourage client cooperation, motivation to change and promote successful outcomes.

(12:28:35) – Supervisor Giomi commented that the 2023 deliverables were "a lot" and recommended revisiting them during the next review. Supervisor Schuette commented on tactic c on page 14:

Track JAC ridership on a yearly basis so that value of service can be compared to cost of service.

She encouraged remembering the "need for service and the importance of service" as well, since JAC was the only method of transportation for some. Supervisor White believed that tactic e on page 28 would mean adding more patrol units:

e. Reduce occurrence rates of crimes against persons and property in the community.

Supervisor White was also in favor of contracting the Public Administrator duties (page 23, tactic i,)

Review Public Administrator activities, duties, and rules. Develop strategies to improve its technological, administrative and community service abilities which may include creating its own dedicated position within the City or contracting out for services.

Mayor Bagwell noted that there were no additional comments and entertained a motion.

(12:31:18) – Supervisor Schuette moved to accept the Strategic Plan with the discussed edits . Supervisor Giomi seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED (5-0-0)

MOVER:

Supervisor Schuette Supervisor Giomi

SECONDER: AYES:

Supervisors Giomi, Jones, Schuette, White, and Mayor Bagwell

NAYS:

None

ABSTENTIONS: ABSENT:

None None

21. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

NON-ACTION ITEMS:
FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS
STATUS REVIEW OF PROJECTS
INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS
CORRESPONDENCE TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
STATUS REPORTS AND COMMENTS FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD
STAFF COMMENTS AND STATUS REPORT

- (12:35:33) Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and congratulated Supervisor Giomi on his upcoming nomination for Vice President of the Nevada Association of Counties (NACO).
- (12:36:10) Ms. Paulson informed the Board that the Board of Health meeting and the Carson City Employees Association (CCEA) contract will be agendized for the next meeting.
- (12:36:35) Ms. Hicks reported on the launch of the V&T train the previous week and thanked Mayor Bagwell and Supervisor Schuette for attending. She called the experience "wonderful" and noted that the Polar Express tickets were on sale as well.
- (12:37:29) Supervisor Giomi announced the upcoming 2021 NACO Annual Conference in Fallon, noted that online registration was open, and that all county commissioners were eligible to vote on items without being on the NACO Board.

(12:38:20) – Supervisor Schuette praised the community for their donations and time to help the Caldor fire evacuees and their pets. Mayor Bagwell also expressed pride and gratitude "that Carson City stepped up to be a temporary location, to give Eldorado [County] time to find the right placements." She noted that the reason for the location change by Eldorado County was to have the ability to communicate with all their residents.

(12:42:25) – In response to a question by Supervisor Jones regarding a possible move to the Bank of America building on William Street, Ms. Hicks clarified that they were waiting for the appraisals.

17. PUBLIC COMMENT

(12:43:35) – Mayor Bagwell entertained final public comments; however, none were forthcoming.

18. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: TO ADJOURN AS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(12:43:59) – Mayor Bagwell adjourned the Board of Supervisors meeting at 12:43 p.m. and reminded the Board that the joint meeting with the School Board was scheduled for 6 p.m. in the Bob Boldrick Theater.

-- EVENING SESSION - 6:00 P.M. --

JOINT MEETING WITH THE CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF TRUSTEES

PRESENT (CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS):

Mayor Lori Bagwell Supervisor Stacey Giomi, Ward 1 Supervisor Maurice White, Ward 2 Supervisor Stan Jones, Ward 3 Supervisor Lisa Schuette, Ward 4

CITY STAFF:

Nancy Paulson, City Manager Stephanie Hicks, Deputy City Manager Dan Yu, Assistant District Attorney Tamar Warren, Senior Public Meetings Clerk

PRESENT (CARSON CITY SCHOOL BOARD):

Joe Cacioppo, Board President Richard Varner, Vice President Laurel Crossman, Clerk Lupe Ramirez, Member Don Carine, Member Stacie Wilke-McCulloch, Member Mike Walker, Member

SCHOOL BOARD STAFF

Richard Stokes, Superintendent Andrew Feuling, Director, Fiscal Services Ryan Russell, Legal Counsel Mark Korinek, Director of Operations Mark Johnson, Capital Projects Manager

1. CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL

Mayor Bagwell called the joint meeting between the Carson City Board of Supervisors and the Carson City School Board to order. Ms. Warren called roll and noted the presence of quorum.

2. FLAG SALUTE - LISA SCHUETTE

Supervisor Schuette led the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

Mayor Bagwell entertained modifications to the agenda and when none were forthcoming a motion.

Supervisor Giomi moved to adopt the agenda as written. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Schuette and carried via a unanimous vote by both Boards (12-0-0).

4. PUBLIC COMMENT

Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and Ms. Paulson played a video of Carson High School freshman and Cadet Senior Airman with the Nevada Civil Air Patrol Devin Linehan, who played Taps on a trumpet outside his home in Carson City to honor the 13 US servicepersons killed in Afghanistan on August 26. School Board President Joe Cacioppo presented a Certificate of Recognition to Mr. Linehan who attended the meeting via WebEx and thanked both Boards for the recognition; however, due to technical difficulties, he was unable to continue. The Board members thanked Mr. Linehan with a round of applause, and he was informed that the recognition would be presented to him at Carson High School by Trustee Cacioppo.

Mayor Bagwell entertained public comments and invited those who had signed up in advance to comment when called upon. She also reminded members of the public that each comment would be limited to three minutes.

Nan Perkins voiced her concern regarding the vaccination of school-age children all over the world and provided a handout for everyone to better understand her concerns.

Bepsy Strasburg introduced herself as a Carson City resident and read a prepared statement voicing her opposition to vaccine mandates, calling them unconstitutional. She believed that the sole purpose of the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) was "to push an experimental drug" without providing unbiased and objective information. She noted that the Carson City Health and Human Services (CCHHS) will recommend vaccinations without

consulting the recipient's doctors and inquired whether they would accept liability for long-term effects. She did not equate the vaccine to using a car seat. She reprimanded the Board of Supervisors for their earlier vote to accept a grant for CCHHS to provide better accessibility of vaccines.

Maxine Bradshaw introduced herself as a Carson City resident and expressed her opposition to vaccinations, especially to school-age children. Ms. Bradshaw also provided handouts citing international medical personnel who had provided Coronavirus information. She addressed "the damages that masks do" and provided the Board of Supervisors "documentation that supports the letters we wrote last year...and we explained how it's killing out State." Ms. Bradshaw also expressed concern that Hydroxychloroquine was banned in the State by the Governor.

Debra Songer informed the Boards that she believed children were being taught "other things" but not cursive writing in the schools, calling it "inadequate." Ms. Songer stated that she had questions "before you think of anything about putting any shot in a child's arm, because you will have blood on your arms if they die." She noted that there were no studies about the benefits of wearing a mask. She also objected to "calling the shot a vaccine," noting that even the CDC did not consider it as such.

Marianne Humphrey noted that she lived in Carson City and that "I loved living here...when we moved here, I felt like I really lived in America." Ms. Humphrey reflected on all the changes since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic which had caused her freedoms to be stripped away from her. She expressed her disappointment that her comments were not taken into consideration during the Board's morning session when she had expressed her opposition to the use of a mobile unit to inoculate inmates or low-income residents. Ms. Humphrey believed a vaccine should be a choice and she was concerned that her grandchildren would be mandated to get vaccinated. She wished to see everyone abide by the Constitution and the Bill of Rights.

Charlotte Stewart referenced her written comments and explained that her daughter would still be in the district "had this nonsense not happened," adding that she was speaking on behalf of parents or teachers who could not speak this evening "for fear of retaliation." She recommended that the Boards base their decisions on parent and employee input. She believed that masks would not prevent the spread of COVID. Similarly, she noted that when only unvaccinated individuals are tested, "you will only have cases from the unvaccinated." Ms. Stewart cited her personal experience of contracting COVID-19 earlier and experiencing mild symptoms. Six months later, she had been exposed again, with no symptoms; therefore, she did not wish "to get rid of these antibodies." She also provided information on a friend who had experienced blood clots as a side effect of the Pfizer vaccine.

Ashley Stewart introduced herself and agreed to write down her questions prior to being called back.

Joy Trushenski introduced herself and reiterated the comments she had provided during the Board of Supervisors' morning meeting, noting "there is no scientific basis to continue to demand children in grade school, high school, or college to wear masks or be vaccinated with the COVID-19 vaccine." She cited high CDC survival rates for children and adults, and stated the benefits of hydroxychloroquine and Ivermectin. Ms. Trushenski believed that many patients died because they were denied hydroxychloroquine. She discussed the unknown side effects of the vaccines. She also believed that wearing masks would reduce the immune system.

Linda Williford introduced herself as a State employee and explained that she had contracted "COVID-19 twice by the swab;" and had been ill for 2.5 months. Ms. Williford stated she would be tested weekly as a State employee. She also believed that the vaccine caused her sister's death, because she had already recovered from cancer.

Amber Premo introduced herself as a former Carson City resident and explained that masks do not work, as "they are too big for the particulate size unless you use an N95 [mask]." Ms. Premo also questioned what happened to the flu, informed consent, individual rights, etc. She believed that if the vaccines worked, there would not be a need for masks.

Ashley Stewart returned with her written questions and inquired about masks and testing frequency. Mayor Bagwell explained that CCHHS would present later this evening and recommended that Miss Stewart provide her questions to Director Nicki Aaker so she could address them.

Shawn Meehan, participating via telephone, introduced himself as the founder of Guard the Constitution and the chairman of the Nevada Republican Party's Resolutions Committee, clarifying that he was not speaking on their behalf. Mr. Meehan commented that after 18 months of the pandemic "we are back in masks, and hospitalization numbers prove to us that it's not working." He explained that legislative bodies such as the Board "are our representatives" and expected them to refuse to comply with constitutional restrictions. He cited the ninth amendment and noted that he had had "unpleasant experiences with Governor Sisolak's Directive 047." Mr. Meehan explained that many businesses such as gaming institutions in Carson City have been hostile to him when not wearing a mask because of a medical condition. Mr. Meehan believed that "if we cancel the minority, we destroy the reason we are a republic and not a democracy." He recommended that the Board nullify the Nevada Revised Statute "(NRS) 414 18-month emergency that I do not think is an emergency."

Marie Foster introduced herself and noted that the only mask study she had seen was on the CDC website, noting that masks were 37 percent effective. She also cited a study of 25,000 children, 17,000 of whom had suffered harmful side effects. Ms. Foster offered to leave behind many studies against mark-wearing. She also requested "public records requests of all legal evidence you have provided me to justify your policy or mandate to make me or my child wear a mask, take a COVID test or get a COVID vaccination." Ms. Foster offered to leave her written statement behind and offered to provide copied to parents as well.

Fred Simon believed that the CDC did not want herd immunity in the case of the Coronavirus. He encouraged the Boards "to either have the conviction and the character to do the right thing based upon information, or you don't."

Karen Stephens objected "to the City's acceptance of the CDC's grant money to finance the inoculation mobile." She stated that grants were not "free money" and that they came with attached strings and conditions. She noted that she opposed using tax dollars "to maim and kill our children and citizens." Ms. Stephens listed many doctors who were being harassed, cancelled, and threatened because they had spoken against the vaccine. She believed that "we are the guinea pigs" and stated that the Pfizer CEO had not taken the vaccine.

Casey Rogers introduced herself and read excerpts from Frontline Doctors regarding the ineffectiveness of masks. She referenced the Governor's emergency directive and noted that there are no laws that require temperature checks, the wearing of masks, or staying six feet apart from each other which she noted violated several State and federal laws punishable by imprisonment. She also left behind the documentation from Frontline Doctors for the Boards to read. Mayor Bagwell was asked if the Boards were listening to the public comments and she responded "we listen to every single word that was said tonight...just because you don't get the results that you wanted necessarily, doesn't mean we did not listen."

Kevin Schwartz introduced himself and noted that he had been "on the sidelines of this whole COVID thing, waiting for the data to come in." He stated that the probability of his 12-year-old son dying from COVID was two in one million; however, the probability of him dying of Myocarditis as a result of the vaccine was 28 times higher. He wished to understand where the Boards stood on the issue and whether they supported the Governor's directive or not.

Michael Rowe introduced himself and noted that he had three children attending Carson City schools and thanked the School Board for reinstating the mask mandate this month. He believed that his children's school had stayed partially open because "everyone was asked to wear a mask." Mr. Rowe did not believe that people would be "traumatized by a piece of fabric."

5. WELCOMING REMARKS AND INTRODUCTIONS OF MEMBERS OF EACH BOARD

Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Trustee Cacioppo welcomed everyone to the first joint meeting of 2021 and wished the public to see how the two boards were working together in the interest of the community, in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. He thanked the firefighters and expressed his sympathies to those displaced by the recent fires, those affected by the hurricanes, the tragedy in Afghanistan, and the high school student who had lost his life during a school shooting in North Carolina. Trustee Cacioppo noted his appreciation to those who provided public comments, "even if we don't see eye-to-eye." He also thanked the Board of Supervisors "for allowing us to be a part of this."

Mayor Bagwell considered it an honor to come together as the Board of Supervisors and the School Board to find the common theme that everyone cares about - "our children." She also believed that "public comment is always vital" and wished that "sometimes the things you were commenting on were withing the purview of our Board." She clarified that the issue is not that the Boards are not listening, but the things the public wished to change were not always within the scope of the two Boards. She acknowledged that there are no opportunities for the citizens to comment at the State level, adding "your kids matter to us." Mayor Bagwell introduced the Supervisors and clarified that Supervisor White was "exercising his right to say he does not want to wear a mask" and is able to remain incompliance with the rules due to the plexiglass barriers. Supervisor White clarified that "sitting in this bubble" was not his choice.

6. CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT AND CARSON CITY

6.A FOR DISCUSSION ONLY: DISCUSSION AND PRESENTATION ON THE SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER ("SRO") JOINT PROGRAM BETWEEN THE CARSON CITY SHERIFF'S OFFICE ("CCSO") AND THE CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT ("CCSD").

Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Carson City Sheriff Ken Furlong thanked Superintendent Stokes for his years of "guided service" and his support of the School Resource Program "to keep our children safe." Sheriff Furlong gave background on the School Resource Officer (SRO) program and noted that a total of six officers and a drug detection canine are now assigned to the City's schools. He also presented the program goal of zero fatalities and introduced Sergeant Matt Smith "who is responsible for the SRO program."

Sergeant Smith thanked both Boards for providing a forum to discuss the accomplishments and the goals of the SRO program. He called the officers educators, coaches, and mentors who are there "when a child needs us." Sergeant Smith provided an example of how a suicidal student had reached out to them (asking for them by name) during the COVID-19 pandemic shutdown. He spoke of the great relationships that have been built with the students and indicated he wanted to be called when he was needed. Sergeant Smith discussed upcoming opportunities with the School District "to educate and provide the tools the kids need now to make better choices tomorrow." He highlighted some of the topics such as drugs, alcohol, marijuana, prescription pills, bullying, cyberbullying, and vaping that will be part of the new program and promote positivity among the students. Supervisor Schuette noted that prior to retiring form teaching, she would invite offices to the school to discuss topics such as child abuse and neglect, which created an atmosphere of care and trust. She called the services of the SROs "a beautiful gift to our community."

Trustee Cacioppo thanked Sheriff Furlong and Sergeant Smith and praised the relationships they have built within the schools and believed that the students have benefited from the program. Sheriff Furlong once again thanked Supervisor Stokes for his support. This item was not agendized for action.

6.B FOR DISCUSSION ONLY: DISCUSSION AND PRESENTATION REGARDING COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS BETWEEN THE CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT ("CCSD") AND THE CARSON CITY HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT ("CCHHS") ON MATTERS INVOLVING COVID-19, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE INVESTIGATION PROCESS FOR IDENTIFICATION OF POSITIVE COVID-19 CASES AND CLOSE CONTACTS WITHIN SCHOOL SETTINGS, DETERMINATION OF POTENTIAL OUTBREAKS AND OUTREACH EFFORTS TO PROVIDE STUDENTS WITH A SAFE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT.

Mayor Bagwell introduced the item and reminded Ms. Aaker to address the questions provided to her by Ashley Stewart. Ms. Aaker explained that tonight's presentation and discussion would focus on the collaborative efforts between CCSD and CCHHS to provide a safe environment for Carson City students and to keep them in school.

Tara Barnett introduced herself as the CCHHS school liaison, biostatistician, and epidemiologist. She gave background on her qualifications and reviewed the testing procedure for CCSD. Ms. Barnett noted that the current tests administered by school nurses are the antigen tests which, if positive, are reported to the Health Department immediately and if negative, are reported weekly. She stated that student cases are transferred to the investigations department and are prioritized above all others. According to Ms. Barnett, a determination will be

made on whether a student attended school while infected which would be part of the contact tracing process. She noted that CCSD had excluded over 500 close-contact students so far and attributed much of the spread/risk to the smoke which has required students to remain indoors. Ms. Barnett encouraged everyone to visit the State's dashboard at https://nvhealthresponse.nv.gov/ where now antigen test information was also included whereas last year, only the PCR (polymerase chain reaction) test information was present.

Ms. Barnett recognized efforts such as the weekly School Board Pandemic Meetings and her meetings with the school nurses. In response to Miss Stewart's questions, she acknowledged that "we are currently masking in the CCSD," calling it a safe decision to keep as many students in the classrooms as possible. As for Miss Stewart's second question regarding testing frequency, Ms. Barnett informed her about the nationwide shortage in antigen tests which were also being used for athletic testing; therefore, the testing would prioritize symptomatic cases and would be dependent on availability of resources. She also offered to answer questions.

Trustee Walker who introduced himself as a school principal in Lyon County, relayed information from the school nurses "on how overworked they are" and thanked the Quad-County Area school nurses. He was concerned that they were taking on additional responsibilities such as contact tracing and inquired about additional resources to help them avoid burnout. Ms. Barnett called them heroes and noted that many school districts were outsourcing the contact tracing function or hiring additional employees for that. She cited the example of CCSD that had hired a team of social workers to perform contact tracing. Trustee Walker believed the problem would be getting worse.

Supervisor Schuette referenced previous comments regarding particulate matter going through masks and requested further explanation. Ms. Barnett believed that masks helped in the reduction of the particulate matter.

Trustee Wilke-McCulloch thanked Ms. Barnett for her presentation and for basing her decisions on data.

Mayor Bagwell inquired about the caseload increase in the under 18 age group. Ms. Barnett explained that the increase had almost doubled every two weeks.

Trustee Cacioppo thanked Ms. Barnett for her presentation and acknowledged the concerns raised in the Carson City School Board meetings regarding the CDC and its guidelines. He noted that his conversations with medical personnel in different countries had indicated that they were all faced with similar issues. He acknowledged the small mortality rate compared to those who have recovered; however, he inquired about the long-term effects of COVID, adding that he would send questions to CCHHS and receive responses.

Trustee Crossman received confirmation that the previously noted response regarding the doubling of cases every two weeks was regarding the under 18 student population.

Ms. Aaker addressed Miss Stewart's last question which was regarding the COVID testing swabs being sterilized with ethylene oxide (EO), a cancer-causing substance. She clarified that EO gas is a common, and sometimes the only method used to sterilize medical equipment and devices to keep them safe, according to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) which ensures the amounts used are within safe limits. She added that long term and occupational exposure to large amounts of EO has been linked to cancer.

Supervisor Jones inquired about the antigen tests and was informed that there currently was a nationwide shortage; however, CCHHS had been working through to state to secure necessary amounts. Mayor Bagwell thanked Ms. Aaker and Ms. Barnett for their presentations.

6.C FOR DISCUSSION ONLY: DISCUSSION AND PRESENTATION ON AN OVERVIEW OF RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY IN CARSON CITY.

Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Carson City Community Development Director Hope Sullivan thanked Superintendent Stokes and the CCSD for the close working relationship and the cooperation between the City and the School District. She explained that the CCSD participated in reviewing the impact on the City's schools anytime a development project was being discussed. Ms. Sullivan showed the growth of the City's population according to the census data which also showed a decrease in the under 18 age group. She also indicated that to date there had been 115 (single or multi-family) housing starts in 2021 and presented the upcoming housing developments and the number of new homes that had been permitted.

Mr. Feuling praised the communication between the City and CCSD and reviewed his projections based on yield factors from a 2019 demographics study. He reviewed his methodology and estimated a total of 69 new students from the new single-family homes and 32 new students form the new multi-family homes/apartments in the 2021-2022 school. Mayor Bagwell thanked Mr. Fielding and Ms. Sullivan for their presentations.

6.D FOR DISCUSSION ONLY: DISCUSSION AND PRESENTATION ON THE CAPITAL PROJECTS IN THE CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT.

Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Mr. Korinek reviewed a PowerPoint presentation, incorporated into the record, showing details of the Eagle Valley Middle School expansion project, the District Office remodel and expansion project, and the Carson High School turf replacement and track improvement project. he also provided the Boards a summary of major maintenance projects completed during summer 2021 and responded to clarifying questions. Mayor Bagwell thanked Mr. Korinek and commented on the increases in construction prices.

6.E FOR DISCUSSION ONLY: DISCUSSION AND PRESENTATION ON THE CONDITIONS AND ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE START OF THE 2021-2022 SCHOOL YEAR IN THE CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT.

Mayor Bagwell introduced the item. Superintendent Stokes reviewed a PowerPoint presentation highlighting the 2021 school year issues, specifically during the COVID-19 pandemic, including the implementation of hybrid learning, gradual return to elementary and middle schools, and hybrid high-school learning with limited athletic activities. Additionally, he highlighted the "great hope" in 2022 with on-campus learning, the return of athletics programs, face masks, and COVID testing followed by student exclusions. Superintendent Stokes also noted the poor air quality issues due to the California wildfires which had resulted in accommodating some displaced students as well. He also highlighted staff shortages and long days. Superintendent. Stokes referenced the earlier discussion on masks and noted that they would be required for the time being to reduce student exclusions. He highlighted Mr. Korinek's operational efforts in shutting off "outside air exchanges" but still allowing them to clear and sanitize the air. He wished to keep the schools open, but cautioned that there would be a reduction in

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND JOINT MEETING WITH THE CARSON CITY SCHOOL BOARD

Minutes of the September 2, 2021 Meeting Page 24

certain activities. Superintendent Stokes praised the District's relationship with the City and thanked the community for their focus on education and responded to clarifying questions. Trustee Walker acknowledged and respected some of the tough decisions made by Superintendent Stokes in the last year-and-a half while keeping the students, the Staff, and the teachers safe despite some of the abuse he had encountered at School Board meetings.

Supervisor Schuette agreed with Trustee Walker's comments and noted that "contact tracing involves more students when universal masking is not in place," Mayor Bagwell thanked Superintendent Stokes for his service and congratulated him on his upcoming retirement after this school year.

7. PUBLIC COMMENT

Mayor Bagwell entertained final public comments; however, none were forthcoming.

8. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: TO ADJOURN

Mayor Bagwell adjourned the meeting at 8:49 p.m.

The Minutes of the September 2, 2021 Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting are so approved on this 7th day of October, 2021.

STACEY GIOMI, Mayor Pro Tem

ATTEST:

AUBREY ROWLATT. Clerk – Recorder

Attachments: Written public comments

Public Comment

1041 W. Robinson Street Carson City, NV 89701

August 30, 2021

To the Members of the Carson City Board of Supervisors:

Regarding Michael Golden's Chairmanship of the Carson City Airport Authority Board of Trustees

I am writing on what I feel is a critically important decision that will soon come before the Board of Supervisors.

As you may know, I recently resigned from the CCAA Board of Trustees. Actions taken by the Airport Board regarding the termination of Ken Moen's employment contract and the hiring of his replacement were unprofessional, counter to procedural rules, and, in some cases, violated Nevada's Open Meeting Law. I voiced objections but I was unable to change the outcome. For these reasons, I chose to resign.

The Board of Trustees is chaired by Michael Golden, the owner of Mountain West Aviation, the Airport's primary Fixed-Based Operator (FBO). Mr. Golden was the driving force behind Mr. Moen's removal. At the July board meeting, Mr. Golden was re-elected to the Chairman post for another two-year term. The only way to prevent him from continuing to foster dubious actions by the Airport Board is for the Board of Supervisors to decline to reappoint him to the Board when his four-year term ends in October. Even if we ignore his actions, there are two compelling reasons Mr. Golden should not be reappointed to the CCAA Board of Trustees.

- 1. Mr. Golden is the owner of FBOs at three airports. In addition to his Mountain West operation at the Carson City Airport, he operates FBOs at the Lake Tahoe Airport in South Lake Tahoe and the Elko Regional Airport. The Chairman of the Airport Board of Trustees should have a singular loyalty to the Carson City Airport. In Mr. Golden's case, his loyalties are divided between three airports, and he could be steering potential business away from Carson City to a location that is more beneficial to his overall business operations. This is a clear conflict of interest. I doubt the authors of the Airport Authority Act for Carson City foresaw the possibility of a chairman with divided loyalties. Because of the conflict of interest, Mr. Golden should never have been appointed to the Airport Board of Trustees.
- 2. A group of investors known as Carson Tahoe Executive (TCE) has purchased Sterling Air, an FBO at the Airport. The investors are working on plans to build high-end hangars and related facilities to attract tenants with business jets to the airport. This could be the biggest expansion of airport facilities in many years which would mean a significant increase in airport revenue. These new jet-related facilities could cause many business owners to relocate to Carson City, which would bring good jobs here. Mr. Golden's Mountain West

FBO is in direct competition with CTE. I feel it is an unacceptable risk to continue to have Mr. Golden chair the Board of Trustees when his control of the Board could frustrate CTE's plans. CTE should not have to negotiate with a Board controlled by their competitor.

I realize people aren't lining up to join the Airport's Board of Trustees. It would be better to leave the board seat open than to keep Mr. Golden in place.

If you have any questions, please call me at 513-706-4831.

Simpt Myselwiet

Respectfully,

Bradley G. Harris

From 1976 to 1997 The CITY operated and maintained Eagle Valley golf course at taxpayer expense as a public recreational facility and a wastewater disposal site. From 1997 to 2017 the public facility was operated by a nonprofit corporation which was unable to meet their financial terms of their agreement.

The board entered this third operational and maintenance plan in 2018. While called a management agreement it appears to be more like a lease agreement to the letter of the law. Please ask our District Attorney if the agreement for your consideration is in compliance with all Nevada State Laws?

The original agreement was for five years with a contract extension review at the end of three years. We assume that this review time was done to allow the CITY time to find another management (or leasing) company should Duncan elect not to renew. This agreement has 2 years remaining so you have some time here to approve this additional 5 year renewal. It would appear that in the extension you would want another two year contract extension review again prior to the end of the ten year period. This contract extension review would be in 2026 two years before the expiration.

It appears to me that the city underwrites the Eagle Valley golf course besides their obligation as a landlord.

The bottom line question is: in the three years under this agreement with Duncan, how much has it cost the CITY in real dollars for the city to own and maintain the golf course in annual expenses and capital improvements?

- 1. We acknowledge the city does not collect real property taxes.
- 2. We acknowledge the city does not collect personal property taxes.
- 3. Does the Dunkin management group pay sales tax on food purchases or are they exempt?
- 4. The city has for many years maintained a budget line item, for as much as \$75,000, in the sewer wastewater treatment budget for irrigation system at Eagle Valley. How many other departments have a line item for the eagle valley golf course for example the CITY manager's office, the DA's office, public works, parks and recreation for items related to the course such as inspections oversight, legal, repairs and maintenance.
- 5. Does Duncan pay for potable water and associated sewer rates. Or is this item provided by the landlord?
- 6. Does Duncan pay for an effluent meter as the other golf courses using effluent do or are they exempt by city involvement?
- 7. Who plays for and maintains the wastewater irrigation system says such as pumps and sprinkler heads?
- 8. In the past there was much discussion about rebuilding the irrigation system at Eagle Valley at a cost that exceeded 2.5 million dollars, What is status of this rebuild project?

We are led to believe that it is important for CITY to continue maintaining areas for land application of the CITY's wastewater at the Nevada State Prison Farm, Emp[ire Ranch Golf Course, Eagle Valley Golf Course and the Silver Oaks Golf Coursestate prison farm eagle valley empire ranch and silver of golf courses the city should however try to create a level playing field for the two privately owned golf courses to compete fairly in the marketplace and not provide

an unfair advantage over their competition giving unearned excessive profits to the Duncan management group for a profit company

The original agreement was for 5 years with a review in 3 years. I assume that this was done in order to allow the CITY time to find another management company if Duncan does not renew. So we have some time here to approve the extension. It would appear that you would want 2 years again at the end of the 10 years, so this renewal should be for 5 additional years from the original date (7 years from now) with a review in 2016, two years before the expiration.

I believe that this agreement is not a management agreement, but looks more like a lease agreement. Any golf management companies that have approached me, charge me for their service, in other words the golf course pays the management company to manage.

It appears to me that the CITY underwrites the Eagle Valley Golf Course besides their obligation as a landlord.

- 1. The CITY does not collect real property taxes.
- 2. The CITY does not collect personal property taxes
- 3. Does Eagle Valley pay sales tax on food purchases or are they exempt?
- 4. The CITY has for many years maintained a budget line item for \$75,000 in the wastewater treatment budget for irrigation at Eagle Valley. How many other departments have a line item for the golf course. A good example might be the DA's office, Parks and recreation for items related to the course, such as inspections, oversite etc.
- 5. Does Eagle pay for potable water and associated sewer rates.
- 6. Does Eagle pay for an effluent meter as the other golf courses or are they exempt?
- 7. Who pays for and maintains the effluent irrigation system, such as pumps and sprinkler heads.
- 8. In the past, there was much discussion about rebuilding the irrigation system at Eagle Valley at a cost that exceeded 2.5 million. What is the status of such a rebuild?

The bottom line question is; how much does it cost in real dollars for the city to own and maintain a golf course.

I know that it is important for the CITY to continue, at the present time, maintaining areas for land application of the CITY's effluent. The CITY should however try to create a level playing field for the CITY's 2 golf courses to compete fairly in the marketplace and not have an extreme unfair advantage over their competition giving unearned, excessive profits to the operating company.

Harald Walther
Public Comment

To: Subject:

Comment on proposed Title 8 amendments CCMC 8.04.020 and CCMC 8.04.123

Date:

Friday, August 27, 2021 1:12:32 PM

This message originated outside of Carson City's email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links, or requests for information.

Esteemed Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to these amendments, both of which strike me as ordinances in search of a problem and an unnecessary criminalization of activities that I have not observed to be a nuisance during the time I have lived in Carson City.

It is thoroughly hypocritical and illogical to define an action as a misdemeanor (open container) and then miraculously as lawful should it take place in the frame of a public event. There already are laws in place to deal with public intoxication.

I also find it extremely dangerous to pass ordinances regarding 'indecent conduct' without a clear definition of what is meant by lewd, licentious, indecent or even 'exposure'. These are moral terms and open to a very broad interpretation.

I don't like to see this puritanical attitude take hold in Carson City and will certainly not support it with my future votes should these amendments be passed.

Regards, Harald Walther

Carson City

To:

<u>CCEO</u>

Subject:

Email contact from Carson City

Date: Monday, August 30, 2021 11:20:33 AM

Message submitted from the <Carson City> website.

Site Visitor Name: R Hadlock

Site Visitor Email: hadtotravel@sbcglobal.net

So here we go taking more of our rights regarding not allowing drinks in public. I have admired Nevada for seemingly having an independent attitude by not restricting their people. Now we begin the California influence of taking those rights away little by little. The police do not have the time nor staff to start policing anyone who has a drink in their hand out in public. Vote no on this policy as I would think you have more important issues to solve than trying to pass petty issues.

Kelsey Penrose

To: Subject: Public Comment

Date:

In regards to agenda item 14B Friday, August 27, 2021 11:42:27 AM

This message originated outside of Carson City's email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links, or requests for information.

Regarding 14B.

Good afternoon, my name is Kelsey Penrose and I was born and raised in Carson City. I am writing to emphatically oppose the proposed ordinance regarding outlawing open containers in Carson City.

I am opposed to this proposed ordinance because I believe it would unfairly punish those who should be able to enjoy Carson City parks, trails and public areas as they see fit, so long as they are not hurting or endangering anyone else. As we already have ordinances regarding public intoxication and drinking under age, banning open containers of alcohol will do nothing except penalize individuals who may simply want to have a glass of wine at the park, or drink beers with their friends during a barbecue. As someone who does not drink alcohol, I do not believe in restricting the ability of any member of the public to partake responsibly in public areas.

Thanks so much,

Kelsey Penrose

Kyle Kneeland

To:

Public Comment

Subject:

Open Container of Alcohol Ordinance - Strongly Oppose

Date:

Friday, August 27, 2021 4:54:35 PM

Attachments:

ORDINANCE No. 2021 - Summary Prohibits possession of an open container of an alcoholic beverage in a public

place.pdf

This message originated outside of Carson City's email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links, or requests for information.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I strongly oppose the ordinance aiming to make the possession of an open container of an alcoholic beverage while in a public place a misdemeanor. It seems this ordinance is trying to solve a problem that doesn't exist, and will result in the punishment of otherwise innocent citizens who, before such an ordinance is passed, would have gone on enjoying a freedom they had already possessed. Passing new ordinances to restrict freedoms, when none are needed, does not increase public peace and safety, but instead invites conflict among otherwise innocent citizens and an unjust law. This can result in ordinary citizens getting unjust fines, and possibly even hurt or arrested, as laws require force by police to be enforced. We need less interactions with police for harmless, non-violent activities, not more. Frankly, our police have better things to do than harass folks for victimless activities. There are already laws against public abusive behavior, and we can go forward and continue to enforce those laws already in place. There is absolutely no need to add more laws to go after folks simply enjoying an adult beverage outside or downtown.

It is not the job of the Board of Supervisors to be the moral arbiter of its citizens. Carson City government is supposed to serve its citizens, not punish them. This ordinance, if passed, would be a waste of time, energy, and money. Most importantly, this ordinance would aim to turn Carson City citizens into law-breakers, and there is nothing peaceful or moral about that.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely and respectfully,

Kyle Kneeland kyle@pricetagpro.com

Robert S

To:

Public Comment

Subject:

Proposed Ordinance Prohibiting Open Container in Public

Date:

Monday, August 30, 2021 7:31:37 PM

This message originated outside of Carson City's email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links, or requests for information.

Dear Supervisors:

I've recently been informed that the Board is to consider an ordinance prohibiting the possession of open containers of alcohol with the exception of special events. I do not believe such legislation would solve any problem that currently exists and is an unnecessary infringement on the liberty of citizens. Please vote it down.

Respectfully,

Bob Shaffer

From: To: Subject: Maureen Vigneault
Public Comment
Public Comment

Date:

Friday, August 27, 2021 1:18:29 PM

This message originated outside of Carson City's email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links, or requests for information.

Comments concerning Agenda Item 14

of the following meeting

CARSON CITY CONSOLIDATED MUNICIPALITY NOTICE OF MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND JOINT MEETING WITH THE CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF TRUSTEES Day: Thursday Date: September 2, 2021 Time: Beginning at 8:30 am Location: Community Center, Robert 'Bob' Crowell Board Room 851 East William Street Carson City, Nevada Time: Beginning at 6:00 pm Location: Community Center, Bob Boldrick Theater 851 East William Street Carson City, Nevada

Please take care not to over regulate. In defining public indecency, please insure the language is such that it does not make many reasonable citizens into criminals. For instance please restrict the definition of public urination to urination in places where there are some grounds keeping occurring. Of course it is unreasonable to urinate on spaces where regular grounds keeping occurs. Please don't allow the definition to include anywhere on public lands. We have many remote hiking trails and public areas where facilities are not near by and it is perfectly reasonable and a necessity to relieve yourself. Please make the definition dependent on the proximity of public facilities such that a reasonable person can get to a facility before they wet themselves. Please don't make reasonable actions a crime.

Also please don't make it a crime to have an open container of alcohol in public. Please insure that opening a beer in my front yard, and walking across the street to talk to my neighbor with it in my hand is not a crime. I can't imagine anywhere where the presence of alcohol is the problem. The real problem is the conduct of people who have consumed alcohol. Making possession a crime, is an enforcer's lazy way to control bad actors, but penalizes everyone. Please focus on the real problem of the conduct of those who have bad conduct, whether it is because of consuming alcohol or not.

Maureen

Kyle Ashcraft

To:

Public Comment

Subject: Date: Public Drinking - Kyle Ashcraft Friday, August 27, 2021 12:27:05 PM

This message originated outside of Carson City's email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links, or requests for information.

I am not a frequent partaker of alcohol - but any thoughts of making 'public drinking' a misdemeanor directly conflict with the heart of Carson City Commerce and Tourism.

This idea should not be entertained in any way and is inherently anti-Carson City. It's begins to tear at some of Carson City's few remaining highlights.

Sent from my iPhone

Keisey Penrose

To: Subject: **Public Comment**

Date:

Regarding the agenda item 14A Friday, August 27, 2021 11:36:34 AM

This message originated outside of Carson City's email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links, or requests for information.

Regarding 14A.

Good afternoon, my name is Kelsey Penrose and I was born and raised in Carson City. I am very concerned based on the verbiage of this proposed ordinance, which would make "indecent conduct" a misdemeanor.

I do not support this proposal, as "indecent" is not properly defined and could therefore be left open to interpretation. While I recognize this wording has been in place within the CCMC previously, adding a criminal element means that there needs to be more work put in in regards to definitions.

Thanks so much,

Kelsey Penrose.

Cheryl Eggert

From:

Tamar Warren

Sent:

Tuesday, September 7, 2021 12:10 PM

To:

Cheryl Eggert

Subject:

FW: public comment for Barb Mathers for joint school board trustees and board

supervisors etc to be read in full for the record

Hi Cheryl, could you please add this to the public comments folder of the 9/2 Joint School Board/BOS meeting? I will print just the comments. If you can snag it from the printer and put it with the Board packet, I'd appreciate it. I have some more comments on my desk that I will give you later too but I don't want this to fall through the cracks. Thank you.

From: J. Daniel Yu <JDYu@carson.org>

Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 12:04 PM **To:** Rachael Evanson Revanson@carson.org

Cc: Lori Bagwell <LBagwell@carson.org>; Nancy Paulson <NPaulson@carson.org>; Stephanie Hicks

<SHicks@carson.org>; Aubrey Rowlatt <arowlatt@carson.org>; Tamar Warren <TWarren@carson.org>; Jason

Woodbury < JWoodbury@carson.org>

Subject: RE: public comment for Barb Mathers for joint school board trustees and board supervisors etc to be read in full

for the record

Hi Rachael,

I understand, based on our phone call, that this person has questioned why her comment was not read or referenced during the meeting and/or is now insisting that the comment be included onto the record somehow. You can feel free to respond with the following:

Ms. Mathers:

Carson City has received your written public comment for the September 2, 2021 joint meeting of the Board of Supervisors and the Carson City School District Board of Trustees, which you sent via email to the School District at 6:01 p.m. on the night of the meeting. Because your comment was not received until after the meeting had started and staff was unaware of your submission until later, it was not referenced on the record during the meeting. And although you requested that your comment be "read in full for the record", please know that the Board of Supervisors – like most other public bodies - does not read public comment submissions into the record even if timely received as that would be redundant and is not required by state law for the conduct of a public meeting. However, your written comment will be forwarded to the Office of the Clerk-Recorder for inclusion in the minutes of the meeting when published. In the future, if you wish to provide public comment on the record, you may do so by calling the telephone number listed on the Board of Supervisors agenda notices or by attending in person. For guaranteed inclusion in the minutes of a Board of Supervisors meeting, written materials must be submitted by not later than 3:00 p.m. the day before the date of the meeting, as explained on each agenda notice.

Thank you.

Rachael, I think that the above should be an adequate response. I do recommend inclusion of the comment in the minutes to be prepared by the Clerk-Recorder because, although it was submitted past the 3 pm deadline, the Board of Trustees' agenda notice establishes no such limitation for the receipt of public comment. Another reason why, in my view, joint meetings between different public bodies should be avoided because they present inconsistencies and procedural challenges and opens up the City to potential Open Meeting Law challenges. But because the comment was not submitted until after the meeting started and it was not referenced in any way on the record, and therefore the members of the Board of Supervisors and the Board of Trustees did not rely on the comment, there is no OML violation where the comment has not yet been posted on the City's website. In fact, I do not recommend posting it on the website (other than when it's included in the published minutes) because we are under no obligation to do so under the circumstances – the comment was not received in time or used as supporting material by anyone.

Please feel free to inform your counterpart at the School District of the response above (but please do keep this email internal only) although I assume the district will include the comment in their minutes. Whether they decide to post it or not, I defer to them and their counsel to do what they feel is best for the Trustees.

I'm copying the Clerk-Recorder's Office as well as Nancy, Stephanie, the Mayor and the DA just to keep everyone looped in, in case this evolves into some vocal assertion of an OML violation.

Let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,

Dan

From: Rachael Evanson < REvanson@carson.org > Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 11:04 AM

To: J. Daniel Yu < JDYu@carson.org>

Subject: FW: public comment for Barb Mathers for joint school board trustees and board supervisors etc to be read in

full for the record

Rachael Evanson Office Specialist

Executive Office | Carson City, A Consolidated Municipality 201 N. Carson Street, Suite 2, Carson City, NV 89701 Direct: 775-283-7125 | Office: 775-887-2100 | Fax: 775-887-2286

http://www.carson.org

From: Renae Cortez < rcortez@carson.k12.nv.us > Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 10:52 AM
To: Rachael Evanson < REvanson@carson.org >

Subject: Fw: public comment for Barb Mathers for joint school board trustees and board supervisors etc to be read in

full for the record

This message originated outside of Carson City's email system. Use caution if this message contains attachments, links, or requests for information.

Hi Rachael,

For your information, here is public comment received after the joint meeting started on 9/2.

Thank you

Renae Cortez

Executive Administrative Assistant

Superintendent / Board of Trustees

Carson City School District

Phone: (775) 283-2100

Fax: (775) 283-2090

From: barbara asp <<u>solros89703@hotmail.com</u>> Sent: Thursday, September 2, 2021 6:01 PM

To: Public Comment < Public Comment@carson.k12.nv.us>

Subject: public comment for Barb Mathers for joint school board trustees and board supervisors etc to be read in full for

the record

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom it May Concern,

The first thing I would like to share is a map of the United States with the 2021 Mandated School Mask Policies. Do you see any similarities in the states that are marked blue and have mask mandates? They are all run by democrat governors!!!!! Washington, Oregon, California, Nevada.... etc. Covid is political. Mask mandates are political. Muzzling our children and forcing them to be in masks for 8 hours a day (per the newest mask mandate by Superintendent Stokes, President Joe Cacioppo, Vice President Richard Varner, and members of the board Laurel Crossman, Lupe Ramirez, Donald Carine, Stacie Wilke-McCulloch, and Mike Walker) is political!!! You each chose to mask our children. We are holding you accountable. Our children now have to be in masks AGAIN. I knew it was coming, which is why I'm homechooling my children again this year, but I thought you all would at least wait until the "second wave" that will be coming in the fall before forcing our kids to be in masks all day.

I'm lucky enough to have the choice to homeschool my children, but a ton of parents in our district do not have the option of doing that. Crazy idea having to work instead of homeschooling your children. I have to run a business from home and homeschool my kids at the same time, which is hardly ideal, but totally worth it for the health and safety and to avoid bullying for my children. I have already heard way too many stories from other parents about their kids getting hassled for not having received the vaccine (Why is this even public knowledge?! Since when is a person's medical history the business of any other human being besides his or her self?!)

I am for the idea of "my body, my choice!" This slogan does not just apply to the Left's agenda of murdering babies by supporting abortion. It actually means a human being has the right to make decisions for his or her self. It is the choice to not be forced to receive a "vaccine" for a virus that is 99.999 percent curable. Why get a vaccine for something that is almost 100% overcomable?! If someone wants to get the covid shot, that is up to them, but to force it to keep a job, or to go to college, or to attend a stinking baseball game that is absolutely wrong!! As shared by David Hume, "There is no such thing as freedom of choice if there is no freedom to refuse!" As long as we are being forced to get the shot to reach this unreachable herd immunity, our rights will continue to be taken away. I support freedom of choice for our medical choices and no one should force another to make any choice they are not comfortable with when it comes to the health of an individual.

Stop accepting money to do stupid things, stop accepting grants to destroy our children's education. Nevada is 49th in the nation for education. WAKE UP AND TEACH OUR CHILDREN! Get rid of all of the political agendas and teach our children reading, writing, and arithmetic. We don't want equity anywhere in our schools. We want equality in the sense that every child gets an education based on his or her own strengths and weaknesses and classes that they want to pursue. Do not sort them by race or sex, or any other biased statistic you choose. Teach each child. Give them the resources they need to reach their educational potential. As quoted in the job description of the equity director: "Belief that every student has the opportunity to excel. Outcomes for students must not be predictable by race, ethnicity, gender, disability, socioeconomic status and culture." Do what you promise you're going to do for our students!

No forced mask mandates, no forced vaccines. Teach our children, and get rid of any policies, propaganda, and politics that take away from that goal. Get our kids out of 49th in the nation.

Barb Mathers, mother of 2

To whom it may concern/State board of Education.

Samuel Adams September 1722 to October 1803 American Statesman, Philosopher and one of The Founding Fathers of United States once quoted.

"If ye love wealth better than Liberty, the tranquility of Servitude better than the animating contest of freedom, go home from us in peace. We ask not your counsels or arms. Crouch down and lick the hands which feed you. May your chains set lightly upon you, and may posterity forget that ye were our country men."

Everything below will make you go "hhmmmm.

- People of America/Students you need to stand up and say "NO." School Students need to use logic and critical thinking.
- AZ Governor Doug Ducey signed an E.O banning mask mandates for children stripping Local
 Officials from using Police Powers to mandate vaccines thus not incentivizing them. The School
 Boards are being used to do the opposite.
- Injecting Students with Experimental drug Therapeutics, if they get you to do this they will get you to do anything.
- This is a "Money Maker for the Pharmaceutical Companies i.e Pfifzer and Moderna. Now, they are saying you will need a 3rd booster shot.
- Moderna Co-founder saying we might have to do this annually.
- Vaccines are NOT Mandated in the White House, CDC, FDA, WHO, Pfizer, Moderna, Johnson and Johnson.
- We started with 2 weeks, wear a mask, wear 2, don't wear a mask. 6 ft apart. Now 17 months into
- A Pandemic made of bad statistics and manipulated numbers to push fear.
- Travel masks mandate extended through January 2022. They Goal Posts keeps moving and moving.
- Australia complete lock down. You cannot remove your masks to drink alcohol only coffee. You
 cannot speak to people. If the Authorities knock on your door you must be home or they will
 track you down.
- 1.4 million illegal immigrants just crossed the border without showing vaccination records for Measles, Mumps, Rubella, Rickets, Hep A/B/C or Covid. No Masks.
- Obama's birthday party of 475 plus guests, Ilhan Omar 100 plus party, 400,000 at Lollapalooza in Chicago.
- Plane loads of Afgan people no masks no vaccine on crowded runway no Social distancing on their way to America. Americans left behind. Americans "last."
- Sturgis 2020 and 2021. Fauci called these Events "spreaders.
- S.D, TX and FL wide open and functioning. Freedom
- BLM and Antifa rioting in the streets. Nothing to see here.
- They say Hospitals are full. No. Hospitals are partially open because Staff is quitting due to mandatory vaccines
- Many State representatives being caught with no masks. unvaccinated.

- 45,000 have died from vaccine as of Aug 3rd 2021. Another 500,000 Covid Vaccines suspected Adverse Events in Europe i.e Tremors, blood clots, Bell's palsy, Guillaume Bar Syndrome, sterility in men, Miscarriages etc.
- I can tell the difference between a Toyota, Honda or Subaru better than the WHO/CDC can differentiate between the Flu, Covid and "Delta.'
- Approximately 553,742 homeless people in America. We are not counting the homeless in Australia, NZ, UK, Europe or Canada.
- You would think they would be Truckloads and Truckloads of dead people everywhere and the smell of dead people on the streets.
- The Homeless people don't wear masks and are the most immune compromised.
- What a lie. Is there a cold called Covid out there ? Yes, there is. 99.9% recovery rate.
- It's just a power grab.
- Say no to vaccine.

Thank you.

Legal Immigrant